Ethnicity and Power in Contested Cities: the Historical Experience

A.C. Hepburn *University of Sunderland*

Cities are frequently seen as the cutting edge of human achievement, as cosmopolitan sites where new identities may develop and flourish. In this view, traditional barriers are eroded through proximity of living and working. But many modern cities are made up of communities that regard themselves or are regarded by others as distinct in terms of language, religious belief, skin color or culture. In this context, the question becomes "how have cities sought to mitigate their potential for dysfunctionality?" The rapidly growing British industrial cities of the nineteenth century, for instance, drew their populations mainly from nearby hinterlands, so that while the challenges of acculturation to urban living and the discipline of the factory may have been great, the challenge of acculturation to new neighbors was substantially reduced.

In other contexts, however, urban populations in the industrial and contemporary eras have been divided along ethnic lines in terms of residential and associational segregation, distinct occupational and industrial profiles, endogamy and, sometimes, mutual hostility to the extent of rioting and other forms of overt conflict. Such contexts are commonly referred to as "divided cities." But in some cases groups are not only rivals for power and resources, but are also in more fundamental conflict over the political and cultural identity or indeed dispute the very national identity and state location of the city. In such cases a more specific term is needed to differentiate them from other types of divided cities, such as Berlin during the Cold War era or North American cities where high levels of ethnic and, especially, racial segregation have existed over long periods of time. Some scholars have used the term "frontier city" to describe such contexts (Kotek, 1996b). Others, including the present writer, feel that this introduces a geographical dimension, which is not always appropriate, and prefer the term "contested city" (Klein, 2001;

This paper will explore the variety of ways in which such problems have arisen and are coped with by the state(s) concerned, by the international system and by the people of the cities in question. It also asks how such conflicts have been resolved, managed, or simply changed. Reference will be made to a number of contested cities in modern history, including Gdansk and Trieste, where external intervention has played a crucial role, and Brussels

Danzig it was accepted that upward social mobility required members of minority groups to shift to the dominant language. It normally took a significant increase in the number of upwardly mobile migrants to reverse such a trend. If this point were reached, culturally focused nationalism would typically assert the equality – or often the superiority – of the non-dominant language. Language difference is, therefore, a rather less objective indicator of ethnic difference than might at first appear to be the case. Language shift and language maintenance are often communal strategies, the development or rejection of which has been determined by circumstances. Language difference has to develop an ideological underpinning, which it may or may not be able to do, before it can assume ethnic salience.

Religious difference in fact may provide a stronger barrier against erosion of ethnic difference by, for instance, reducing the likelihood of widespread intermarriage and strengthening pressure for separate schooling. It may strengthen this barrier whether the non-dominant group wishes it or not. In Ahmedabad, for example, that portion of the Muslim community which stayed on after the 1947 partition had provoked the exodus of many of their co-religionists to Pakistan, accepted, almost by definition, that their future lay as Indian citizens in the Republic of India. In recent years however the ascendancy of Hindu nationalism in Indian and, especially, Gujarati politics together with the deterioration of Indo-Pakistan relations, has meant that Muslims in Ahmedabad and elsewhere have been stigmatized as disloyal or as terrorists (www.geocities.com).

The Impact of Urbanism

What is the specific impact of the urban *milieu* on ethnic conflicts? Spolsky & Cooper (1991) argue that urbanization promotes both linguistic diversity and linguistic uniformity. Cities attract an ever-widening ethnic mix of peoples, as travel becomes easier and cheaper. Within the city occupational specialization and social stratification are greater, and so permit more linguistic diversity. Historically many

of the professions and trades of Ahmedabad were specific to either Muslims or Hindus so that the segregation of neighborhoods by occupation, which was typical of many Indian cities, in practice also came to mean *ethnic* segregation (www.boloji.com). On the other hand the urban environment puts people in closer physical proximity to others, while the extension of state and municipal activity encourages uniformity of language through education and other public services. There is a tension between the need to communicate, which pulls towards linguistic unification, and the instinct to protect sub-group identities, which tends to sustain ethnic languages and religions. Proximity does not necessarily help to improve ethnic relations. When the peasants come to the city or move into a new occupational stratum in sufficient numbers, they may be able to reverse or, if they are of the other persuasion, reinforce its patterns of ethnic supremacy. Where this does not happen they often send an enhanced ethnic awareness back to a rural homeland where ethnic identity was previously unchallenged and therefore taken for granted. A striking number of leaders of the Irish revolution of 1916-22, for example, including IRA leader Michael Collins, worked in white-collar jobs in Dublin or London, but hailed from peasant backgrounds in the ethnically homogeneous southwest of Ireland. An attitude survey conducted in the province of Quebec during the 1970s found that young Francophones in bilingual Hull, immediately across the river from Ottawa and therefore very close to Anglophone Ontario, had a considerably higher level of contact with English-language culture but a considerably less favorable opinion of Anglophones than did an equivalent group in Quebec City, deep in the heart of unilingual French Quebec (Laponce, 1987). Similarly, the evidence from Northern Ireland suggests that the close proximity of "the other side" in Belfast, relative to the rest of Northern Ireland, has produced more hostile rather than less hostile ethnic relations. On the other hand, what relevant evidence there is from Danzig and from inter-war Trieste suggests that these relatively harsh ethnic regimes, as

well as the more benign one in Brussels, were quite effective in converting incomers to the dominant language, with resistance more likely to be sustainable in outlying suburbs and villages.

The Importance of the Metropole

Since the nineteenth century cultural factors, rather than economic ties, physical geography or the requirements of military strategy, have come to define what western cities regard as their "hinterland." The relationship between contested cities and their hinterlands is important, and often mutually reinforcing. Ethnic groups in the modern world need a metropole, as a center and showpiece for their culture, and as a focus for urbanization. To the extent that urbanization in the modern world is inevitable, an ethnic group without a city is in trouble. Magyar Budapest was the largest center for urban Slovaks at the end of the nineteenth century, as was Italian Trieste for Slovenes: Bratislava and Ljubljana had to be invented – or at least developed – as regional capitals in double-quick time in 1918. In earlier times cities could, almost by definition, be outposts of a different culture, the leading edge of acculturation/assimilation. In some cases this was reversed by demographic pressure: German Prague, Anglo-Irish Dublin and Swedish Helsinki are examples of this. Elsewhere reversal was less straightforward, for reasons of demography, geography or state policy: Slovenes in Trieste were unable to compete demographically. In Danzig and Breslau [now Wroclaw] a combination of demographic and state power prevented any reversal, until it was achieved by massive external intervention in 1945.

In some contexts the ambivalent status of the contested city-metropole has continued. Sometimes it has been regarded as a threat, as the strike-force of the dominant culture, thereby generating an anti-urban ethos within the non-dominant community. In other contexts the metropole has been seen as a prize to be "captured" or "regained." In the case of Brussels – majority Dutch-speaking in 1850 but very predominantly French-speaking by 1950 - the nationalist movement of Flanders has been determined not to relinquish its aspiration for linguistic reclamation, partly because of the city's Flemish past and partly because of its modern importance. This, notwithstanding the fact that alternative, if more modest, metropoles such as Antwerp were already in Flemish possession. Until half a century ago Quebec nationalism tended to reject urbanism altogether as an anglicizing and corrupting influence, but in the past half-century it has shown great determination to extend the French face of Montreal. Likewise, Palestinian nationalism will not give up its claim to some part of Jerusalem, because it has no alternative metropole available to it. Israel on the other hand, although it also has the considerably larger Jewish metropole of Tel Aviv, is not willing to concede any part of Jerusalem, because of its immense symbolic significance. Belfast's existence as a Protestant citadel has been of very great importance, both in the development of an Ulster Protestant sense of identity and in the practical sense that the British state has been unwilling to risk overruling the wishes of the majority in such a volatile city. Had the British Liberal Government in 1914, for instance, had confidence in its ability to impose home rule for Ireland without creating a blood bath in Belfast, there can be little doubt that home rule would have been implemented and a separate "Northern Ireland" would never have come into existence.

Modes of Resistance

What defense mechanisms do non-dominant groups develop in such contexts? Where prospects for the non-dominant group appear poor, a quietist strategy has been common. In French Montreal it was *la survivance*, for two centuries down to 1960; in Arab Palestine it was *sumud* ("steadfastness"), until the emergence of a more modern form of nationalism in the mid-1960s; the Belfast novelist Joseph Tomelty wrote in 1953 of "the awful fatalism of the Falls Road," the city's main Catholic neighborhood; the opportunistic ethnic identity of Jan Bronski in Gunter Grass's classic novel *The Tin Drum* (1959) is a similar response. In rural Flanders and in rural

Ireland in the late nineteenth century similarly stoic values, opposed to both emigration and urbanism, were urged, especially by the Catholic Church. In Brussels, the city-born Flemish working class was remarkably quiescent until galvanized by incomers from Flanders in the post-1945 era.

In Ahmedabad the quietist approach adopted by the dwindling Muslim minority after 1947 was not enough to divert the Hindu militancy which had been stimulated by issues originating elsewhere. The demolition in 1992 of a mosque at Ayodhya, in Uttar Pradesh, by a mob that believed it to be the site of an ancient Hindu temple has provoked violent conflicts in northern India ever since, including the attack on a trainload of Hindus returning from Ayodhya in 2002. Although this lethal incident occurred 75 miles from Ahmedabad it provoked massive anti-Muslim riots in the city. Smaller Muslim neighborhoods were overwhelmed and, as in Belfast in 1920-22 and 1969-71, the outcome has been a substantial intensi-fication of ethnic segregation. The Belfast experience suggests that pence

The Belfast experience

French-language education. Higher education in minority languages has tended to be resisted as potentially creating a channel which would perpetuate the non-assimilation of the elite of the non-dominant community, frequently cloaked in arguments about the minority language being of "inferior cultural value."

Where a city's division is an ethnolinguistic one, language laws have been used to reinforce or reverse patterns of language usage. Sometimes this is a matter of power and pride, where people who can

relationship. The social processes that are apparent in all these studies work in very similar ways, and are central to our understanding of historical change. In each case, however, there are factors – whether of location, human agency or chance – which interact with these processes to produce a particular and distinct outcome.

Further Reading

Bollens, S.A. *On Narrow Ground: Urban Policy and Ethnic Conflict in Jerusalem and Belfast* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 2000)

Cieslak, E. and C.Biernat. *History of Gdansk* (Gdansk: Wydawnictwo Morskie, 1988)

Dumper, M. *The Politics of Jerusalem since 1967* (New York & Chichester: Columbia University Press, 1997)

Engman, M., F.W.Carter, A.C.Hepburn & C.G.Pooley, eds., *Ethnic Identity in Urban Europe* (New York: New York University Press, 1992)

Farrell, S. *Rituals and Riots: Sectarian Violence & Political Culture in Ulster, 1784-1886* (Lexington: University Press of Kentucky, 2000)

Gardner-Chloros, P. *Language Selection and Switching in Strasbourg* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1991)

Germain, A. and D.Rose. *Montréal: the Quest for a Metropolis* (Chichester: John Wiley, 2000)

Grass, Günter. *Die Blechtrommel* (1st edn 1959). Engl edn *The Tin Drum* (London: Secker & Warburg, 1962)

Hametz, M. *Making Trieste Italian, 1918-1954* (London: Royal Historical Society, 2005)

Hepburn, A.C. *A Past Apart: Studies in the History of Catholic Belfast, 1850-1950* (Belfast: Ulster Historical Foundation, 1996)

Hepburn, A.C. *Contested Cities in the Modern West* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004)

Kimmich, C.M. *The Free City: Danzig and German Foreign Policy, 1919-34* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1968)

Klein, M. *Jerusalem: the Contested City* (London: Hurst & Co. 2001)

Kotek 1996a: Kotek, J., S. Susskind and S. Kaplan, eds., *Brussels and Jerusalem: from Conflict to Solution* (Jerusalem: Truman Institute, 1996)

Kotek 1996b: Kotek, J., ed., *L'Europe et ses villes-frontiéres* (Brussels: editions complexe, 1996)

Laitin, D.D. "Linguistic Revival: Politics and Culture in Catalonia," in *Comparative Studies in Society and History* vol.31 (1997), pp.297-317

Laponce, J.A. *Languages and their Territories* (London: University of Toronto Press, 1987)

Levine, M.V. *The Reconquest of Montreal: Language Policy and Social Change in a Bilingual City* (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1990)

Mattar, P. *The Mufti of Jerusalem: Al-Hajj Amin al-Husayni and the Palestinian National Movement* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1988)

Rabel, R.G. *Between East and West: Trieste, the United States and the Cold War, 1941-1954* (London: Duke University Press, 1988)

Romann, M. & A Weingrod *Living Together Separately: Arabs & Jews in Contemporary Jerusalem* (Princeton: University Press, 1991)

Sancton, A.

ONE WOODROW WILSON PLAZA, 1300 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004-3027

Woodrow Wilso